

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/057,179	01/25/2002	Xiaoqiang Ma	MH-5091	5294
	7590 11/22/2005			EXAMINER	
	Patent Departs			PERILLA, JASON M	
	Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc. 201 Broadway				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Cambridge, M.	Cambridge, MA 02139			<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- I	<b>\</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/057,179	MA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason M. Perilla	2638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11-18 and 20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,11-16 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. r election requirement.				
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2005 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-032)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/057,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9, 11-18 and 20 are pending in the instant application.

### Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 2. In view of Applicant's remarks and amendments filed September 6, 2005, the claim objections set forth in the first office action dated July 12, 2005 have been withdrawn.
- 3. In view of Applicant's remarks, the rejections of claims 11 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been withdrawn.
- 4. New art rejections are set forth below.

### Claim Objections

5. Claims 11 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 11, in line 2, "modulating the signal using" should be replaced by –wherein the modulated signal received was modulated--.

Regarding claim 20, the claim is objected to for the same reasons as applied to claim 11 above.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-7, 11-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bao et al (US 2002/0150037; hereafter "Bao" – previously cited) in view of Ling et al (US 6377607; hereafter "Ling"), and in further view of Iwakiri et al (US 5509020; hereafter "Iwakiri").

Regarding claim 1, Bao discloses according to figure 4 a method for detecting symbols of a modulated signal received via orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM; para. 0003) channels of a wireless communications system (abstract), comprising: obtaining an initial estimate (Step C; para. 0078, 0079) of a symbol transmitted via the channels from a previous channel estimate (Step A; para. 0068-0073) and a received symbol (para. 0079); updating the channel estimate (Step D; para. 0081-0082); optimizing a next estimate of the transmitted symbol which maximizes an expectation of a likelihood function (para. 0046, 0047) over an unknown parameter h of the channels (para. 0046); quantizing or finding a hard decision (para. 0064) of the transmitted symbol (repeat step C); comparing the previous channel estimate with the updated channel estimate to determine if the previous estimate of the channel and the updated estimate of the channel have converged (Step E; para. 0083, 0084); and otherwise inputting the quantized next estimate of the symbol as the initial estimate of the symbol; and repeating the updating, the optimizing, the quantizing, and the comparing until the previous estimate of the symbol and the next estimate of the symbol converge (para. 0084). Bao discloses an iterative channel estimator wherein an initial channel estimate (hi) is provided using pilot signals in step A, a received symbol Xi is estimated in step C and the estimated received signal is utilized in step D to update

Page 4

the channel estimate (h<sup>i+1</sup>). Thereafter, a difference between the previous and current channel estimates hi and hi+1 is found and it is compared with a threshold in step E. If the difference is less than a threshold, the steps C to E are repeated, otherwise the estimation of the channel and the received signal has converged. Bao does not explicitly disclose that the "next estimate" and the "previous estimate" of the estimated received signal are compared. Rather, Bao discloses that the next or current channel estimate is compared with the previous channel estimate to determine if the iterations of updating the channel estimate and the corresponding update of the estimated received signal have converged. However, one skilled in the art understands that finding the difference between the "next" and "previous" channel estimates is analogous to finding the difference between the "next" and "previous" estimated received signals because both are related to the same difference in convergence.

Bao discloses the use of a likelihood function but not a log likelihood function. However, Ling teaches the use of a log likelihood function to determine a channel estimate (fig. 7, ref. 179; col. 3, lines 39-46; col. 4, lines 54-60). One skilled in the art is aware that the use of a log likelihood ratio may provide advantages over the use of a likelihood ratio with channel estimates to make appropriate symbol decisions. For instance, a log likelihood ratio may be more accurate than a likelihood ratio. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to utilize a log likelihood ratio as taught by Ling in the method of Bao because it could lead to more accurate results.

Further regarding claim 1, Bao in view of Ling do not explicitly disclose that optimizing the expectation of the likelihood function is performed by averaging the likelihood function. However, Iwakiri teaches averaging log likelihood ratios to increase the reliability of the ratio (col. 15, lines 24-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to average log likelihood ratios as taught by Iwakiri in the detection method of Bao in view of Ling because averaging log likelihood ratios would lead to more accurate results and the reliability of the average value is increased as compared to a single value alone.

Regarding claim 2, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses the use of various modulation techniques such as quaternary phase shift keying (QPSK). Definitively, in the art, QPSK is utilized to describe a modulation technique wherein the complex constellation of four possible received symbols all have the same magnitude and vary according to phase by 90 degrees. As understood by one having skill in the art, any M'ary PSK constellation has symbols which only vary in phase and not magnitude. That is, they have a positive constant equivalent to an energy (magnitude) of the modulated signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to update only phase information of the channel estimate while communicating with a MPSK modulation technique because only the phase information is required to demodulate the received signal.

Regarding claim 3, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses subtracting the previous

Art Unit: 2638

estimate of the channel estimate from the next estimate of the channel estimate which is equivalent to subtracting the previous estimate of the symbol from the nest estimate of the symbol as applied to claim 1 above. Bao uses the absolute value of the difference between the previous and next channel estimates compared with a predetermined threshold to determine if more iterations are required or, otherwise, if the channel estimate has converged (para. 0084).

Regarding claim 4, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses obtaining the initial estimate of the symbol from the channel estimate of a pilot symbol received via the channels (para. 0068-0073).

Regarding claim 5, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses obtaining the initial estimate of the symbol from the channel estimate of a previously received symbol (para. 0078). In the method of Bao, the initial estimate of any new symbol will be estimated according to the channel estimate of a previously received symbol because the channel estimate is initially created according to a pilot symbol, and subsequent received symbols are obtained according to the channel estimate iteratively determined using the pilot symbol and any intermediary symbols which were received.

Regarding claim 6, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses that the optimizing or reevaluating the symbol transmitted according to the updated channel estimate further comprises: using only a fast Fourier transform matrix, the received signal Y(m), and the

Art Unit: 2638

previous channel estimate H(m) (para. 0064). In the disclosure of Bao, the received signal y(m) represented as Y(m) is the received signal in the frequency domain (see fig. 3 for time/frequency domain conversion). Therefore, the equation in paragraph 0064 determining the value of the symbol transmitted X(m) must be converted to the time domain as understood by one having skill in the art.

Regarding claim 7, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, although Bao does not explicitly disclose that the estimate of the symbol is quantized according to the signal constellation, one skilled in the art is aware that a quantization or a hard decision is performed according to the constellation of the modulation order of the signal received. That is, during the demodulation of a QPSK symbol, for instance, four possible values are represented in the signal constellation, and the quantization or decision regarding the value of the symbol will necessarily be determined according to one of the four possible states of the constellation of a QPSK symbol. Therefore, for the utility of the receiver, as understood by one having skill in the art, the quantization of a symbol into bits would always be determined according to the received signals modulation order constellation. Otherwise, incorrect decisions would be made.

Regarding claim 11, Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bao discloses receiving orthogonal frequency division multiplex signals (OFDM; para. 0003).

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claims 12-16, and 20, the limitations of the claims are disclosed by Bao in view of Ling, and in further view of Iwakiri as applied to claims 1-5, and 11, respectively, above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8, 9, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,179

Art Unit: 2638

Jason M. Perilla November 10, 2005

Page 9

jmp

KENNETH VANDERPUYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER